

Contents

List of figures	iv	4 How to implement or re-energize a P3O	67
List of tables	v	4.1 Introduction	69
Foreword	vi	4.2 Implementation lifecycle for a permanent P3O	70
Acknowledgements	vii	4.3 Implementation lifecycle for a temporary programme or project office	87
1 Introduction	1	5 How to operate a P3O	93
1.1 Purpose of this guide	3	5.1 Introduction	95
1.2 How to use this guide	3	5.2 Overview of tools and techniques	96
1.3 Organizational context of PPM	3	5.3 Benefits of using standard tools and techniques	97
1.4 What are P3Os?	7	5.4 Critical success factors when introducing tools and techniques into the P3O	98
1.5 How the P3O model elements align with portfolio, programme and project lifecycles	9	5.5 P3O tools	100
1.6 Governance and the P3O	12	5.6 P3O techniques	102
1.7 AXELOS best-practice guidance	12	Appendix A: Roles and responsibilities	123
2 Why have a P3O?	15	Appendix B: Business case example	139
2.1 Introduction	17	Appendix C: Model tailoring – case studies	147
2.2 How do P3Os add value?	17	Appendix D: Examples of tools and techniques	159
2.3 Maximizing the value a P3O can bring	20	Appendix E: P3M3 summary	163
2.4 Getting investment for the P3O	23	Appendix F: Functions and services	179
2.5 Overcoming common barriers	36	References and further information	205
2.6 Timescales	38	Glossary	209
3 Designing a P3O model	39	Index	219
3.1 Introduction	41		
3.2 Design considerations for a P3O model	43		
3.3 What functions and services should the P3O offer?	51		
3.4 Roles and responsibilities within a P3O	56		
3.5 Sizing and tailoring of the P3O model	61		

Acknowledgments

2013 EDITION

This second edition of *Portfolio, Programme and Project Offices* updates the 2008 edition following a review of changes proposed through the Best Management Practice change control system and a user survey. AXELOS and The Stationery Office (TSO) would like to thank the following for their contribution to this update.

Authoring team

Eileen Roden	QA	Author
Sue Vowler	Project Angels Ltd	Mentor

Project governance and quality assurance

The update was directed by a project board comprising:

Mike Acaster	AXELOS	Project executive
Janine Eves	TSO	Senior supplier
Neil Glover	TSO	Project manager
Richard Pharro	APM Group	Senior supplier
Chris Walters	PPSO SIG	Senior user

Reference group

The board are grateful to the members of the reference group who advised in the development of the product description for the update:

Sarah Briggs, Department of Health; Kevin Brooks, Treetops Training Ltd; Richard Caton, London Borough of Hackney; Lenny Descamps, APMG-International; David Dunning, Corporate Project Solutions Ltd; Tim Ellis, Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea; Alan Ferguson, AFA; Stephen Harris, Australian Government Information Management Office; Chris Hobson, CITI Ltd; Emma-Jane Houghton, Turner & Townsend Infrastructure; Stephen Jenner, Portfolio Solutions Ltd; Craig Kilford, Cansoti; Petra Rona, Creative Inn – PMO Consultancy; Steve Simister, Henley Business School; Dr Neil Turner, Cranfield University; Nick Walker, Department for Education; Mike Ward, Outperform (and BPUG coordination)

Reviewers

Quality of the new edition was assured by the efforts of the reviewers including members of the examination panel:

Anne-Marie Byrne, A-M Byrne Consulting; Caroline Clarke, Harmonic Ltd; David Crawford, Think-Link Ltd; David Dunsmuir, Scottish Government; Ralf Finchett Jnr, PMO Planet; Angelika Hamilton, APMG-International; Jo Harper, management consultant, Australia; Pat Heymann, Office for National Statistics; Andy Jones, Friends Life; Jurgen Lackinger, Lackinger-Consulting; Krzysztof Małus, OMEC; Martin McCann, PMO contractor; Dave McLachlan, BAE Systems Australia; Ronald Middelkamp, Alliander N.V; Rose Milburn, DWP Change; Jamie Murray, DWP Change; Mala Murton, i2i Projects Ltd; Tomasz Nędzi, skills® sp. z o.o; Brian Phillips, Yellowhouse.net Pty Ltd; Michelle Rowland, A&J Project Management Ltd; Steve Salvini, Heriot-Watt University; Graham Shreeve, Target Practice; Paul Summers, Portsmouth City Council; Steve Tatler, HM Revenue and Customs; Sue Taylor, P3O chief examiner; Shelagh Turnbull, Scottish Borders Council; Colin Whaley, DWP Change

Change control panel

Making sure that the new edition kept to the brief was the change control panel, comprising:

Stuart Dixon, QA; David Dunning, Corporate Project Solutions Ltd; Kevin Ling, University of Essex; Sue Taylor, P3O chief examiner

2008 EDITION

AXELOS and TSO thank the following for their contribution to the original work.

Principal contributors

Sue Vowler	Project Angels Ltd	Lead author
Anthony Close	SMS Management & Technology	Authoring team member
Craig Kilford	Project Angels Ltd	Lead reviewer/mentor

P30 concept viability workshop

Colin Bentley, PRINCE2 chief examiner (1998–2008); Sarah Branwhite, Docklands Light Railway; Anne-Marie Byrne, OGC; Chris Churchouse, Mindshift Ltd; Neil Glover, OGC; Andrew Godfrey, 1st Milestone Ltd (Primavera Systems authorized representative), representing Best Practice User Group Ltd; Chris Hobson, CITI Holdings Ltd; Dominic Joyce, CLM Delivery Partner Ltd (London 2012 Olympics); Catherine Locke, Land Registry; Richard Pharro, APM Group Ltd; Chris van der Hoven, Cranfield University; Sue Vowler, Project Angels Ltd

P30 guidance pilot group

British Geological Survey (NERC); NHS National Programme Office; NHS South Central Strategic Health Authority; Programme Office, Workforce Directorate, Department of Health; Scottish Borders Council; Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council

Reviewers

Colin Bentley, PRINCE2 chief examiner (1998–2008); Sarah Branwhite, Docklands Light Railway; Kevin Brooks, Treetops Training Ltd; Anne-Marie Byrne, A-M Byrne Consulting; Chris Churchouse, Mindshift Ltd; David Crawford, Think-Link Ltd; Steve Daniels, Siemens plc; Alan Ferguson, AFA; Melanie Franklin, Maven Training Ltd; Chris Hobson, CITI Holdings Ltd;

Nick Johns, Research Councils UK; Dominic Joyce, CLM Delivery Partner Ltd (London 2012 Olympics); Don Kavanagh, Griffiths Waite; Daniel Keller, Swiss Federal Strategy Unit for Information Technology (FSUIT), Swiss Federal Administration; Dr Piotr Kotelnicki, Centrum Rozwiązań Menedżerskich S.A.; Stuart Ladds, OGC; Duncan Leeks, Sellafeld Ltd; Geof Leigh, Goaldart Ltd; Laurence Lemee, Clarion Consulting (UK) Ltd; Catherine Locke, Land Registry; Martin McCann, Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council; Wendy Mills, NFU Mutual; Michael Mooney, Civil Nuclear Constabulary; Cezary Paprocki, Centrum Rozwiązań Menedżerskich S.A.; Michael Pears, Department for Children, Schools and Families; Stefan Plocki, BBC; Tim Reeks, HM Revenue & Customs; Ian Rimington, Vodafone UK; Michelle Rowland, A&J Project Management Ltd; Steve Salvini, Heriot-Watt University; Ian Santry, Home Office; Claudia Schulte, PA Consulting; Andrew Schuster, Department of Health; David I. Shepherd, Consultant; Jonathan Simcock, OGC; Gary A. Smith, Cancer Research UK; Rod Sowden, Aspire Europe Ltd; Jennifer Stapleton, Outperform UK Ltd; Liz Underhill, Government Olympic executive; Peter Weaver, The Programme Support Office Ltd; Glenn Webb, P&PM Group, Fujitsu Services Ltd; Mike Weston-Burt, KPMG; Gerald Williams, Project Labs Ltd; Sébastien Wingerter, NGR Consulting

Index

- ad hoc reviews 113
- adding value to the organization 17–20, 98
- after-action reviews 113
- aims (business case) 141
- archiving of good practice 113
- assurance services 54–5
- AXELOS Best Management Practice 12–14

- background/reasons (business case) 141
- BAU (business as usual) 5, 6–7
- benefits 79, 86, 142–3
- benefits and value role 60, 129–30
- benefits management 77, 189
- Best Management Practice 21–2
 - publications 12–14
- blueprints 73–86
- budget-based sizing 62
- budgets 32–3
- bureaucracy 37
- business as usual (BAU) 5, 6–7
- business case 29–33, 81–3, 141–5
- business goals 41
- business-planning cycles 84
- business-process swimlanes 117–19
- business processes 97

- capability, organizational 32
- capacity planning 119–21, 187–8
- career paths 56–7
- case studies
 - food manufacturing company 152
 - government agency 152
 - Olympics 2012 149–51
 - pharmaceutical wholesale and retail 157–8
 - retail organization 152–5
 - services organization 155–6
 - telecommunications 156–7
- centralized offices 43–9
- centres of excellence (COE) 11–12, 42, 53, 88, 181, 201–4
- change
 - pace of 31–2
 - programmes 6–7, 18–20, 99–100
 - resistance to 82
- change control function 195
- change control role 60, 134
- closing down process 86–7, 90

- co-located models 51
- COE (centres of excellence) 11–12, 42, 53, 88, 181, 201–4
- 'collaboration zones' 87
- collaborative tools 97
- commercial function 197
- commercial role 60, 130–1
- communications and stakeholder engagement role 60, 131–2
- communities of interest 113
- communities of practice 112–13
- complexity modelling techniques 104–5
- configuration libraries 88
- consultancy 64, 65, 72
- consultancy and performance management role 60, 132–3
- cost-benefit analysis 142–3
- cost models 34–6
- culture, organizational 32, 37, 76

- data quality 82, 99
- data requirements (blueprint section) 78, 80–1
- decentralized offices 43–9
- decision-support information 97–8, 107–11
- Define process 71–86
- delivery 42, 51–3, 86, 190–200
- distributed models 51

- embedding of resources 53–4
- employees *see* staff
- enterprise architecture systems 100
- enterprise PPM (EPM) solutions 100, 101–2

- facilitated workshops 115, 116–17
- finance function 196–7
- finance role 60, 133
- financial management 77
- focus groups 72
- food manufacturing company case study 152
- full-time equivalent (FTE) technique 64
- function-based sizing 64
- functional roles 59, 60–1, 129–38

- gated reviews 120
- generic roles 59, 127–9
- goals, business 41
- good practice, archiving 113

governance, organizational 12, 55, 74–5, 77, 90
 government agency case study 152

happiness quotient 74
 head of P3O role 56, 58, 72, 126
 head of programme or project office role 58, 127
 headcount-based sizing 62
 health checks 120
 high-level functions and services 51, 52
 hub portfolio offices 9, 45–8, 65, 181
 human networks 113
 human resources see staff

IA (information assurance) 55–6, 78, 107
 Identify process 23–6, 70–1
 implementation 70–91
 implementation teams 71–2
 incremental implementation 84, 100
 independent assurance services 54–5
 individual tools 97
 information assurance (IA) 55–6, 78, 107
 information management 111, 199
 information management role 60, 132
 information portals 114, 116
 information requirements (blueprint section) 78, 80–1
 integrated tools 97
 integration of P3O in the organization 53–4
 internal consultancy 64, 202–3
 investment appraisal 144–5
 issue management 194
 issue role 60, 133–4
 IT service management (ITSM) 13–14
 ITIL service management publications 13–14

job (role) descriptions 56–61, 125–38

key performance indicators (KPIs) 33–4, 74, 143
 knowledge management 100, 111–15, 203

learning reviews 113
 legacy systems 98
 lessons, shared 113
 lifecycles, implementation 70–91

management
 P3O roles 57–8, 125–7
 senior 4, 7, 81
 management control perspective 77, 90
 management dashboards 107–11
Management of Portfolios (MoP) 12–13
Management of Risk (M_o_R) 13

Management of Value (MoV) 13
Managing Successful Programmes (MSP) 13, 69
Managing Successful Projects with PRINCE2 13
 maximizing value 20–3
 monitor and review function 190–1
 MoP (*Management of Portfolios*) 12–13
 M_o_R (*Management of Risk*) 13
 MoV (*Management of Value*) 13
 MSP (*Managing Successful Programmes*) 13, 69

objectives (business case) 141–2
 offices

 permanent 34, 50
 portfolio 7–11, 43–8, 65, 181
 programme 7, 11
 project 7, 11
 temporary 34, 44, 50, 64–6, 87–91

Olympics 2012 case study 149–51

operational costs 34–6

organization portfolio offices 7, 9, 43–7

organizational capability 32

organizational change 6–7, 18–20, 99–100

organizational culture 32, 37, 76

organizational governance 12, 55, 74–5, 77, 90

organizational learning 111, 203

organizational relationships 76

organizational structure 74–7

overhead costs 34, 36, 37

P2MM (PRINCE2 Maturity Model) 14

P3M3 (Portfolio, Programme and Project Management Maturity Model) 14, 25–7, 77, 78, 165–78

P3O (Portfolio, Programme and Project Offices), definition 7–8

P3O sponsor role 23, 58, 72, 125–6

P3O value matrix 24–6

pace of change 31–2

peer assist 113

peer reviews 113

people and skills function 204

performance indicators 33–4, 74, 143

performance management systems 100

performance monitoring 189

periodic reviews 86

permanent offices 34, 50

personnel see staff

PfM3 (Portfolio Management Maturity Model) 166–70

PgM3 (Programme Management Maturity Model) 171–3

pharmaceutical wholesale and retail case study 157–8

- 'pilot' approaches 86
- PjM3 (Project Management Maturity Model) 174–8
- planned reviews 113
- planning and estimating 51–2, 186
- planning and estimating role 60, 134–5
- planning functions and services 182–9
- planning workshops 116–17
- portals, information 114, 116
- portfolio analyst role 59, 127–8
- portfolio build, prioritization, analysis and reporting 182–3
- portfolio level 141, 166–70
- portfolio lifecycles 9–12
- portfolio management, definition 5
- Portfolio Management Maturity Model (PmM3) 166–70
- portfolio offices 7–11, 43–8, 65, 181
- portfolio prioritization and optimization techniques 104–5, 106
- Portfolio, Programme and Project Management Maturity Model (P3M3) 14, 25–7, 77, 78, 165–78
- Portfolio, Programme and Project Management (PPM) 3–7
- Portfolio, Programme and Project Offices (P3O), definition 7–8
- portfolio registers 84
- portfolio support 41–2
- portfolios, definition 5
- PPM (Portfolio, Programme and Project Management) 3–7
- PPM maturity
 - definition 3
 - P3M3 model 14, 25–7, 77, 78, 165–78
 - varying across business units 22–3
- pre-project reviews 113
- PRINCE2 (Projects IN Controlled Environments, V2) 7, 13
- PRINCE2 Maturity Model (P2MM) 14
- processes (blueprint section) 73–4
- programme and project set-up and closure 184
- programme level 141, 171–3
- programme lifecycles 9–12
- programme management, definition 6
- Programme Management Maturity Model (PgM3) 171–3
- programme managers 71
- programme offices 7, 11
- programme or project officer role 59, 129
- programme or project specialist role 59, 128–9
- programmes, definition 5
- project level 141–2, 174–8
- project lifecycles 9–12
- project management, definition 6
- Project Management Maturity Model (PjM3) 174–8
- project offices 7, 11
- projects, definition 6
- projects dossiers 85–6
- Projects IN Controlled Environments, V2 (PRINCE2) 7, 13
- 'proof of concept' approaches 86
- quality assurance function 198
- quality assurance role 60, 135
- recycling (reusable elements) 90–1
- reporting function 190–1
- reporting lines 43, 78
- reporting role 61, 137
- requirements management systems 101
- resistance to change 82
- resource management 77, 90, 119–21, 187–8
- resource management role 60, 135–6
- resources, embedding 53–4
- retail organization case study 152–5
- reusable elements 90–1
- reviews, periodic 86, 120
- risk identification 142, 144
- risk management 51–3, 77, 81–3, 101, 192–3
- risk potential assessment (RPA) 105
- risk role 61, 136–7
- role descriptions 56–61, 125–38
- RPA (risk potential assessment) 105
- secondment of staff 90
- secretariat/administrator role 61, 137
- secretariat/other function 199
- senior management 4, 7, 81
- services organization case study 155–6
- set-up costs 34–6
- shared lessons 113
- sizing 61–5
- skills 81–2, 117, 118, 204
- staff 74, 76
 - career paths 56–7
 - competence 98
 - contract 65
 - numbers 61
 - resistance to change 83
 - roles and responsibilities 56–61, 125–38
 - secondment 90
 - skills 81–2, 117, 118, 204
- stakeholder management perspective 77
- stakeholders 23, 25–6, 72–3, 74, 88, 185
- standardization of terminology 82

- standards and methods function 201
- strategic mapping software 100
- strategic planning 41–2, 45
- swimlanes, business-process 117–19

- technical networks 113
- techniques see tools and techniques
- technology (blueprint section) 78
- telecommunications case study 156–7
- templates 82
- temporary offices 34, 44, 50, 64–6, 87–91
- terminology, standardization 82
- tools and techniques 88, 96–7
 - benefits 97–8
 - critical success factors 98–100
 - online repository 161
 - types 100–2
- tools expert role 61, 138
- toolsets 82
- transition management 199

- value
 - adding 17–20, 98
 - maximizing 20–3
- virtual P3O models 47–9
- vision statements 27–9, 73

- workshops, facilitated 115, 116–17

Portfolio, Programme and Project Offices (P3O®) is universally applicable guidance to help organizations build the necessary support structures that enable the successful delivery of their portfolios of change programmes and projects. P3O describes the factors that influence designing and building the right structures in order to optimize:

- **Senior management decision-making** P3O informs senior management on decisions that include strategic alignment, prioritization, risk management and use of resources
- **Business outcomes and benefits** P3O ensures the identification and realization of business outcomes and benefits via programmes and projects
- **Delivery of project outputs** P3O plays an integral part in delivering projects within time, cost and quality constraints.

By bringing together a set of roles, processes, functions, services and techniques, P3O facilitates effective portfolio, programme and project management through its enablement, challenge and support structures. These structures bridge the gap between the strategy- and policy-makers and the delivery arm of the organization.

P3O is aligned to other products in the Best Management Practice portfolio including PRINCE2® (for project management), MSP® (for programme management), M_o_R® (for risk management), MoP® (for portfolio management) and MoV® (for value management).

in partnership with HM Government

